IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI DELTA DIVISION

JAMES ALLEN MORRIS PETITIONER V. NO. 2:02CR074-WAP **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA** RESPONDENT CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY Pursuant to the amendment of Rule 11(a) of the Rules Governing 28 U.S.C. §§ 2254 or 2255, and the amendment of FRAP 22, the court hereby finds that: PART A the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. SPECIFIC ISSUE(S): a certificate of appealability should not issue. REASONS FOR DENIAL: For the reasons stated in the Orders dated January 18 and February 14, 2011, the court finds that the Petitioner has failed to "demonstrate that the issues are debatable among jurists of reason; that a court could resolve issues in a different manner; or that the questions are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893

PART B

n.4, 103 S. Ct. 3383, 3394 n.4, 77 L. Ed. 2d 1090 (1993) (citations and quotations omitted).

the	party	appea	ling is	entitled	to p	proceed	in j	forma	раиј	eri.	s.
-----	-------	-------	---------	----------	------	---------	------	-------	------	------	----

<u>X</u> the party appealing is not entitled to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

REASONS FOR DENIAL: The Court finds that the Petitioner's appeal is not taken in good faith because it is frivolous and has no possibility of success. *See* FRAP 24.

Date: March 28, 2011

/s/ W. Allen Pepper, Jr. W. ALLEN PEPPER, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE